INTHEUNITEDSTATESDISTRICTCOURT FORTHEEASTERNDISTRICTOFPENNSYLVANIA

._____:

UNITEDSTATESOFAMERICA : CRIMINALACTION

: NO.98-562-01

VS.

: (CIVILACTIONNO.01-5412)

PETERC.D'AMELIO

ORDERANDMEMORANDUM

ORDER

ANDNOW, this 23 rd day of May, 2002, upon consideration of the Motion of Defendant,

Peter C.D' Amelio, to Vacate, Set Asideor Correct Sentence By a Person in Federal Custody Under

28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Document No. 160, filed December 5, 2001), the Memorand umin Support of

Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, and the Government's Response to Defendant's 28 U.S.C. § 2255

Motion, for the reasons stated in the following Memorandum, ITISORDERED that the Motion of

Defendant, Peter C.D' Amelio, to Vacate, Set Asideor Correct Sentence By a Person in Federal

Custody Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is DENIED WITHOUT ANEVIDENTIARY HEARING.

ITISFURTHERORDERED thatacertificateofappealabilitywillnotissueontheground thatdefendanthasnotmadeasubstantialshowingofadenialofaconstitutionalrightasrequired under 28U.S.C. § 2253(c).

MEMORANDUM

 $Presently before the Court is defendant, Peter C.D'Amelio's, Motion to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence By a Person in Federal Custody Under 28 U.S.C. \S 2255 (Document No. 160, No. 160). The Court is defendant, Peter C.D'Amelio's, Motion to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence By a Person in Federal Custody Under 28 U.S.C. \S 2255 (Document No. 160). The Court is defendant, Peter C.D'Amelio's, Motion to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence By a Person in Federal Custody Under 28 U.S.C. \S 2255 (Document No. 160). The Court is defendant, Peter C.D'Amelio's, Motion to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence By a Person in Federal Custody Under 28 U.S.C. \S 2255 (Document No. 160). The Court is defendant in Peter Sentence By a Person in Federal Custody Under 28 U.S.C. \S 2255 (Document No. 160). The Court is defendant in Peter Sentence By a Peter Sentenc$

 $^{^{1}} The Memoran dum was filed on October 25,2001, in support of defendant's first filed Motion under 28 U.S.C. \S 2255. That first filed Motion was dismissed without prejudice because it was not filed on the appropriate forminac cordance with this Court's Local Civil Rules applicable to habe as corpuscases.$

filed December 5, 2001). The Motion is based on defendant's argument that, after hepled guilty, his co-defendant, Frank De Summa, wrote a letter to the Government that exone rated him and that his attorney was thereafter in effective for failing to move to with draw the guilty plea. No such letter has been produced.

The Court finds that De Summadid not write a letter to the Government (or to the Court) that exonerated D'Amelio, and that there is no basis for with drawal of D'Amelio's guilty plea. Accordingly, defendant's Motion will be denied.

I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

OnMarch23,1999,defendantD'Ameliopledguiltytoonecountofconspiracytocollect extensionsofcreditthroughextortionatemeansinviolationof18U.S.C.§894(a)(1),twocountsof attemptedcollectionofextensionsofcreditthroughextortionatemeansinviolationof18U.S.C. §894(a)(1),andonecountofuseofafirearmduringacrimeofviolenceinviolationof18U.S.C. §924(c).

ThetrialofdefendantD'Amelio'sco-defendant,FrankDeSumma,beganattheendof March,1999.D'AmeliotestifiedasaGovernmentwitnessduringtheDeSummatrial,reiterating hisownguiltofthecrimestowhichhehadenteredhisguiltypleas,andimplicatingDeSummain thefeloniousconductofwhichhe,DeSumma,andathird-defendant,GeorgeDelia,wereapart. DeSummawasconvictedonallcounts.

DeSummawassentencedonDecember18,2000.D'AmeliowassentencedonDecember 19,2000.Athissentencing,D'Amelioreiteratedhisguilt,apologizedforhiscriminalconduct,and arguedforathree-levelreductioninoffenselevelforacceptanceofresponsibility,whichhewas granted.Thepre-departureguidelinerangeforD'Ameliowas97-to-106months.

BecauseofD'Amelio's substantial assistance, the Government filed a motion under §5K1.1 of the Guidelines, seeking a downward departure. The Court granted the Government's Motion under §5K1.1 and sentenced D'Amelio, inter alia, to concurrent terms of imprisonment of 53 months on the counts to which he pledguilty. D'Amelio did not appeal. The instant Motion under 28U.S.C. §2255 was filed on December 5, 2001.

II. DISCUSSION

D'Amelio's Motionis founde dupon his belief that his co-defendant De Summa wrote aletter to the Government inconnection with his sentencing that exonerated D'Amelio: "Mr.D'Amelio became aware that De Summa wrote aletter to the Government during his sentencing; to this day he is still in the evidentiary dark overwhat exactly Mr.De Summa said in this letter. But he knows that the statement was exculpatory. "Mem. in Supp. Of Mot. Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 at 2.D'Amelio concedes that he has never see nor received a copy of this alleged letter, but he expresses his belief that it exists based upon "grape vinere ports he has received." Id. at 5 n. 2.

The Government reported, after thoroughly checking its files, that it never received any such letter from De Summa. See Aff. of Asst. U.S. Attorney David E. Fritchey, appended to Gov.'s Response to Def.'s 28 U.S. C. § 2255 Mot. Moreover, the Court checked its file to determine whether De Summa ever wrote such a letter to the Court, and found no letter.

D'AmeliofurtherclaimsinsupportofhisMotionthathisguiltypleawasnotknowinglyand voluntarilyobtained,andthathisattorneywasconstitutionallyineffectiveforfailuretoinvestigate andtoconsultwithhimontheadvisabilityofwithdrawinghisguiltyplea. This argumentappears to be based, at least in part, on the beliefthat DeSummawrote the letter as alleged.

Adefendantispermittedtowithdrawaguiltypleaonlyifhehasobtainedpermissionofthe

Court; such motions are granted only upon a defendant's showing of a "fair and just" reason to with draw the guilty plea. <u>United States v. Hyde</u>, 520 U.S. 670, 673-74 (1997). A defendant is not entitled to disown his admission under oath in open court that he committed the acts charged in an indictment simply because it later develops that the Government might have had a weaker case than he had thought. <u>Brady v. United States</u>, 397 U.S. 742, 757 (1970).

D'AmelioappearstobesayinginhisMotionpapersthatheisinnocentofthecrimesto whichhepledguilty.However,adefendant'smereassertionofinnocenceisnotasufficientground forwithdrawalofaguiltyplea. See GovernmentofVirginIslandsv.Berry __,631F.2d214,220(3d Cir.1980).Adefendant'sassertionsofinnocencemustbecredibletowarrantthevacatingofa validlyenteredguiltyplea. See UnitedStatesv.Smith _,818F.Supp.123,126(W.D.Pa.), aff'd,14 F.3d50(3d.Cir.1993), cert.denied sub nom.510U.S.1184(1994); see also UnitedStatesv. Lewis,No.Crim.00-66-2,2002WL572341,at*1(E.D.Pa.April16,2002).

The Court conducted an extensive and searching guilty plea colloquy and found there was a factual basis for defendant's plea of guilty. Defendant admitted the fact supon which the Government's case was based including alless ential elements of the crimes charged, and, more generally, admitted his guilt of the crimes charged. Then, as hort time later, he testified against his co-defendant, De Summa, and again admitted his guilt. On that record, there is a boolutely no basis for allowing defendant to with drawhis guilty plea. Likewise, there is no basis for a conclusion that his attorney was not in effective for failing to investigate and to consult with his client on the advisability of with drawing the guilty plea.

As a final matter, the Court concludes that there is no need for an evidentiary hearing. As to the letter, given D'Amelio's in a bility to produce it and the government's sworn statement that it is a conclude that the court of the letter of the court of the court

 $received no letter, there is nothing to be accomplished at a hearing. To the extent that D'Amelio \\ claims his innocence, his assertions are legally insufficient to allow with draw alofhis guilty plea, \\ and D'Amelio has not reference dany evidence to support his claims.$

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

 $For the foregoing reasons, the Court denies defendant, Peter C.D'Amelio's, Motion to \\Vacate, Set Asideor Correct Sentence By a Person in Federal Custody Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255.$

| BYTHECOURT: |
|----------------|
| |
| |
| JANE.DUBOIS,J. |